

<p>I would like to see searchable resources We need more K-5 NB/DLI voices from Lent for phase 2. Right now we don't have any. We need more representation from our historically underserved populations in general. How are we going to get more of these voices for phase 2?</p>	<p>Materials</p>
<p>it feels really positive that we seem to be able to come to some kind of consensus and that even the discussion has been dominated by a few loud voices/communities I am pleasantly surprised that in the end the feedback from the whole group and the general consensus we are heading towards seems to be based more on the survey feedback and the resj literature and discussions we've had pps and flo have really stepped up to add structure and clarity to the process and are doing a great job of creating more space for quieter voices and views</p>	<p>Consensus Clarity/Process</p>
<p>We are not given enough time to prepare for meetings with all the data that is shared with us. We need to have data sooner. "We need to ensure SEGC adds members for phase 2 so that our demographics reflect SE Portland. These new member must attend extra meetings to learn about what has been done and learn process/procedures. " We need to look at top option next week so that we can use an equity lens and really dig into the data that goes with this option.</p>	<p>Phase 2 Data/Time</p>
<p>I feel like there are considerations about phase 2 that people are trying to hold in mind while trying to discern best choices for phase 1. It seems like we are forced to imagine the implications of the strongest phase 1 option on the remaining phase 2 options. That leads to confusion and</p>	

<p>I thought the informal straw polls really helped bring focus to our conversations, and I'd love to see those become a regular feature. In particular, I'd love to do some polls next week to take the group's temperature on big-picture Phase 2 ideas, to set the table for when we resume. E.g., we should poll how people are feeling about whole-school DLI at Lent, or even just the general issue of prioritizing balanced co-locations (my guess is we'd get consensus on that). I'd also love to ask about a few Franklin-specific issues. But if it's something we'd be willing to reserve a bit of time for, maybe we could ask members to submit ideas for things they'd like to poll? Again, these would be high-level concepts/principles, not detailed scenarios.</p>	<p>Polls</p>
<p>I really appreciated the poll questions (especially after establishing that these were a non-binding straw poll) to get a sense of what coalition members are thinking after all this time considering these issues and their impacts. I think our Phase 2 work would benefit with this kind of information right from the get-go about how how people are feeling on things like k-5 co-located DLI, split feeders, etc. Also it really helped to think about how the RESJ lens applies to specific scenarios--it's much easier to consider the impacts than when it's a more general "use the RESJ lens" idea.</p>	<p>Polls</p>
<p>Less of the reset in the beginning and more work time within the groups. For me the beginning portion of the meeting runs long.</p>	<p>Process</p>